

## **2S DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. R 09/481,153 **MASTERS** P01506US2 01/12/00 Г **EXAMINER** 026271 PM82/1030 YIP,W FULBRIGHT & JAWORSKI, LLP 1301 MCKINNEY **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER **SUITE 5100** 3635 HOUSTON TX 77010-3095 DATE MAILED: 10/30/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

## Application No.

09/481,153

Applicant(s)

Masters et al.

Examiner

**Advisory Action** 

Winnie Yip

Art Unit **3635** 



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
There reject allowa	FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. fore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid the abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final ion under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for ance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.
	THE PERIOD FOR REPLY [check only a) or b)]
a)	The period for reply expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b)	In view of the early submission of the proposed reply (within two months as set forth in MPEP § 706.07 (f)), the period for reply expires on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, OR continues to run from the mailing date of the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
ext app set	tensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate tension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The propriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the illing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
1. 🗆	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2. 🗆	The proposed amendment(s) will be entered upon the timely submission of a Notice of Appeal and Appeal Brief with requisite fees.
3. X	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See NOTE below);
	they raise the issue of new matter. (See NOTE below);
(c)	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d)	they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NUTE: See attachment.
	NOTE: See attachment.
4. 🗆	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
_	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claim(s).
4. 🗆	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
<b>4</b> . □ <b>5</b> . □	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claim(s).  The a) □ affidavit, b) □ exhibit, or c) □ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the
4.	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claim(s).  The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
4. □ 5. □ 6. □	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claim(s).  The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
4. □ 5. □ 6. □	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  Newly proposed or amended claim(s)
4. □ 5. □ 6. □	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  Newly proposed or amended claim(s)
4. □ 5. □ 6. □ 7. □ 8. ☒	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claim(s).  The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claim(s) is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to: 9-11
4. □ 5. □ 6. □ 7. □ 8. ☒	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  Newly proposed or amended claim(s)

Page 2 Application/Control Number: 09/481,153

Art Unit: 3635

Attachment

This is an advisory action in response to applicant's amendment filed, October 15, 2001,

after the final office action mailed June 17, 2001.

The proposed amendment will not be entered because: 1.

The newly proposed limitation "the securing mechanism/or the threaded member (a).

configured to be secured only from a top surface" in claims 7, 15, and 18 raises new issue that

would require further consideration and search.

Further, the proposed language "the grating sheet being attached to the structural (b).

member" and "said grating sheet are formed of corrosion resistant material" (claim 1), and "said

grating sheets are formed of corrosion resistant material" (claims 15 and 18) raises new issue

which causes the claims to be indefinite for directly reciting the relationship between the

elements such as between an apparatus/ or a fastening system, and the grating sheets/or structural

members which are not positively claimed, which would raise a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112,

second paragraph.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Yip whose telephone number is (703) 308-2491. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays through Friday from 9:30 AM to 6:30 PM.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113. The Fax phone number for this Group is (703) 305-7687.

October 25, 2001

Carl D. Friedman Supervisory Patent Examiner

Group 3600